

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM

2011 OCT 19 PM 2:01

October 18, 2011

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am in the San Juan Water District's wholesale service area and I would like to voice my concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights, and, periodically, portions of Sacramento. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its customers at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to customers. What benefit would San Juan's customers like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the agreement was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That agreement must be honored. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts in northern California.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Judy Johnson
8670 Gaines Avenue
Orangevale, CA 95662

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



ALAN A. CHENEY
4990 GROSVENOR CIR
GRANITE BAY, CA 95746
916-837-5083

alan.cheney@bwdesigngroup.com

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:18

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Cora Eichstadt

10.28.11

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAIL ROOM
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:20

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,


Granite Bay

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomments@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Robert L. Doy

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM
2011 OCT 31 PM 2:19

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



George Demarest
742 Nayland Court
Folsom, CA 95630

From: [Ed Van Aelstyn](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil.ca.gov)
Subject: Delta Plan Issues
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2011 7:53:09 AM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council

should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Ed & Dana Van Aelstyn
Granite Bay, CA

From: [Les Ocsenas](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Delta Stewardship Council
Date: Saturday, October 29, 2011 6:33:46 AM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sincerely,
Les Ocsenas

From: [Peter Anderson](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Delta Water Plan not Fair, not Right
Date: Saturday, October 29, 2011 12:10:22 PM
Importance: High

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan

This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

We are close to the source and should pay far less than the users in So Cal who should pay more. We didn't ask them to outgrow their water supplies, nor suck the delta dry. They have, and it's their problem.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. (not a very green solution)

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees? Why tax me for So Cal – my property values drop and theirs increase.

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sincerely,

Peter S. Anderson
Granite Bay

From: [Steve](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on My Family, My way of Life, and violating all reason as a customer of San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 4:45:32 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
C/O: deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have grave concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process, as it is probably illegal, grossly unfair, and may violate the constitutional rights of myself, my family and my neighbors.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. It has served the area fairly and at reasonable cost for many years. Forcing an illegal plan upon its customers, whose infrastructure was planned both historically and by past agreements to suddenly abandon that infrastructure is grossly unfair. We have maintained a growth posture that has accommodated the environment and water resources unlike the greedy Southern California water consumers.

You are basically stealing our water to feed a hungry desert, whose water resources managers once ruined the Mono and Owen's Lakes. Now they want us to pay for their lack of diligence in water management by forcing our local residents to "pay" for watering the desert.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. This is grossly unfair, as Los Angeles users have never implemented such plans and SHOULD BE REQUIRED TOO as part of this plan.

- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost

to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees? We already pay way too much, and in this will further depress the economy of the area.

- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Steve Irvine, concerned citizen and now active local water advocate
916-749-0923

From: [C. Young](#)
To: Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 5:41:26 PM

From: dirty12@surewest.net
To: Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 11:14:23 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.
When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

John & Olivia D'Antonio

From: [Donna Hacker](#)
To: Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 2:16:07 PM

Dear Ladies and Sirs,

My questions to you regarding the Draft Delta Plan, as a San Juan Water Customer is HOW ARE YOU HELPING ME?

I am a conserving water user, yet your plan could encumber my provider to a degree that no matter how I continue to save my out of pocket expense will rise, as a result of your proposed water management.....please reconsider.

--

Donna Marie

From: [Patrice Gaumer](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 2:26:52 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sincerely,

Patrice Gaumer

From: [Mike Waggoner](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 1:01:10 PM

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN,

YOUR PROPOSAL IS UNFAIR TO THE CONSUMERS AND USERS OF THE SAN JUAN WATER DISTRICT. PLEASE RECONSIDER YOUR OPTIONS SO AS THEY DO NOT UNFAIRLY AFFECT MYSELF AND MANY OTHERS.

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NEEDS TO SOLVE THEIR OWN WATER PROBLEMS WITHOUT PLACING AN UNDUE AND UNFAIR HARDSHIP ON THOSE OF US WHO CHOOSE TO LIVE IN AN AREA WITH AN ABUNDANT AND NATURAL WATER SUPPLY.

THANK YOU.

MIKE WAGGONER
GRANITE BAY, CA.

From: [Mark Bryers](#)
To: Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Monday, October 31, 2011 3:05:06 PM

I am a resident of the San Juan Water District near Folsom Lake and have serious concerns about the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration.

It is not a coincidence that we live near such a large water resource. I do not think that your council should be able to force our local water supplier to embark on any project that will significantly affect the amount or cost of the water which is currently being supplied.

There should be no additional fees imposed to residents for which there is not a measurable benefit to those who are paying the fee.

Long before the water supply is affected locally the water being supplied by northern California to southern California should be reduced. That was the deal when they started taking our water.

Please limit the council's jurisdiction to that of the delta area and not statewide.

Mark Bryers

From: [John Welles](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Saturday, October 29, 2011 2:34:14 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg Chair, Delta Stewardship Council 980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
John E. Welles
8454 E Hidden Lakes Drive
Granite Bay, CA 95746

From: [Richard Bruce](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](#)
Cc: [Richard Bruce](#)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan
Date: Sunday, October 30, 2011 2:57:44 PM
Attachments: [SJWD.docx](#)

From: [Deanna Sanchez](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Plan
Date: Tuesday, November 01, 2011 11:55:13 AM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently

under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of**

the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations,

which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights

and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of

your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a

reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they

have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to

implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using

water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost**

to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like

me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your

Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council**

should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water

districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I hope you take all of this very seriously as it impacts many

Sincerely,

Deanna Sanchez

5277 Olive Ranch Rd

Granite Bay, CA 95746

916-872-4868



From: [Randy](#)
To: Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Plan Comments.
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 11:24:35 AM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented “all other feasible water supply alternatives.” This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees

against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

• **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Randy Dodd

From: [Sheri Adams](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 7:59:48 AM

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented “all other feasible water supply alternatives.” This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta. When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

I personally conserve every day. I didn't even turn the sprinklers on until August this year because it wasn't needed. I use low flow toilets and shower heads. This plan would penalize individuals like myself who do try to conserve. Hit the big water users. Locate those that are abusing and penalize them.

Thank you for your time and consideration.
Sincerely,

Sheri Adams

From: [John](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Re: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Sunday, October 30, 2011 4:22:15 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.** San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – **and should always have** – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
John Sawicky
7185 Sierra Drive

Granite Bay, CA 95746

From: petpui@surewest.net
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 6:07:38 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Christine Young

From: [Mike Waggoner](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: UNFAIR PROPOSAL TO SAN JUAN WATER CUSTOMERS
Date: Friday, October 28, 2011 12:59:03 PM
Importance: High

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Best Regards,

Mike Waggoner
SAN JUAN WATER CUSTOMER

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAILROOM

2011 NOV -1 PM 3:02

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.


My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



Mr. Phil Isenberg Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

RECEIVED
DELTA COUNCIL
MAIL ROOM
October 31, 2011
2011 NOV -1 PM 2:50

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council.

This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County. There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had -- and should always have -- enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake. **Where does common sense prevail?**
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Steve & Doreen Adams

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.
- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?
- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,



From: [Douglas Brosnan](#)
To: Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Friday, November 04, 2011 1:53:12 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg

Chair, Delta Stewardship Council

980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor

Sacramento, California

deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently

under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of**

the environmental review process.

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations,

which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights

and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of

your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a

reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state

agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they

have implemented “all other feasible water supply alternatives.” This could require San Juan to

implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using

water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan’s ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost**

to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against

San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan’s residents like

me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council’s jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico. Your Council’s focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council**

should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water

districts.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Douglas P. Brosnan; J.D., M.D.
(714) 300-3129 (mobile)

Statement of Confidentiality

The contents of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and are intended solely for the addressee. This information may also be legally privileged. This transmission is sent in trust, for the sole purpose of delivery to the intended recipient. If you have received this transmission in error, any use, reproduction or dissemination of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please immediately notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and its attachments, if any.

From: [Plastino, Mark D \(HP Finance\)](#)
To: Delta.Plan.Comments@Deltacouncil
Subject: Draft Delta Plan
Date: Sunday, November 06, 2011 11:21:09 AM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Dear Mr. Isenberg:

We are San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake

and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had – and should always have – enough water to serve its residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

- **Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use.** The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

- **Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me.** The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's

residents like
me receive from those fees?

- **Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive.** The Delta Stewardship

Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to Mexico.
Your

Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our
local rivers, the deal

was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand.

The Council

**should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other
similar water
districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Mark and Catherine Plastino

From: [Pat Leatherman](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Draft Delta Solution
Date: Saturday, November 05, 2011 9:29:14 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California
deltaplancomment@deltacouncil.ca.gov

Re: Draft Delta Plan – Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

We have lived in the Granite Bay area for 47 years. We are retired. We have watched the cost of water rise over the years and we are to the point that we can not afford to have another raise.

We always felt living so close to the lake that we would at least have the water to take care of our property as it should be taken care of. We are not in favor of the Draft Delta Solution.

Please work on finding a plan that would be more in fitting with those living in the Granite Bay area. We would appreciated your consideration in this matter.

Stan and Pat Leatherman
8205 Oak Knoll Dr.
Granite Bay, CA 95746

From: [Ron Williams](#)
To: [Delta Plan Comments@Deltacouncil](mailto:DeltaPlanComments@Deltacouncil)
Subject: Re: Draft Delta Plan - Impacts on San Juan Water District Customers
Date: Saturday, November 05, 2011 4:02:02 PM

Mr. Phil Isenberg
Chair, Delta Stewardship Council
980 Ninth Street, 15th Floor
Sacramento, California

Dear Mr. Isenberg:

I am a San Juan Water District customer and I have serious concerns with the Draft Delta Plan currently under consideration by the Delta Stewardship Council. **This version should not be used as the basis of the environmental review process.**

San Juan Water District's water treatment facility is located east of Sacramento, next to Folsom Lake and the American River. San Juan serves 265,000 customers in their retail and wholesale operations, which encompass portions of Granite Bay, Orangevale, Folsom, Roseville, Fair Oaks, Citrus Heights and periodically portions of north Sacramento County.

There are advantages to living in Northern California close to the source of the water that comes out of your tap. San Juan has always had - and should always have - enough water to serve it's residents at a reasonable cost.

My primary concerns with your Council's draft Delta Plan are as follows:

Reducing the amount of surface water San Juan can use. The current draft allows state agencies to prevent water suppliers, like San Juan, from using more of their local water until they have implemented "all other feasible water supply alternatives." This could require San Juan to implement very expensive projects, like pumping recycled water uphill many miles, before using water out of Folsom Lake.

Undermining San Juan's ability to deliver reliable, high-quality water at a reasonable cost to customers like me. The draft plan proposes that your Council be able to assess fees against San Juan based on the water it delivers to residents. What benefit would San Juan's residents like me receive from those fees?

Proposed boundaries for the Council's jurisdiction are excessive. The Delta Stewardship Council should not have a jurisdiction that stretches from the Oregon border to

Mexico. Your
Council's focus should be strictly on the Delta.

When northern California agreed that southern California could use water from our local rivers, the deal was that we would have access to that water when we needed it. That deal must stand. **The Council should revise the draft plan to address the unfair impacts to San Juan and other similar water districts.**

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely, Ron Williams; Granite Bay, CA